

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
2.3 Key Issues and Challenges	
2.3.1	<u>Support</u> 1. Support for the identified key issues and challenges.
2.3.2	<u>Objection</u> 1. The key issues and challenges should also refer to pollution.
2.3.6	<u>Objection</u> 1. Other modes of transport must be considered and the Rights of Way Improvement plan should be considered for all non-motorised users.
2.4 Vision	
2.4	<u>Support</u> 1. Support for vision. <u>Objection</u> 1. There should be reference to agriculture, farming and rural character. 2. There should be reference to improving health. 3. Objection to point 7 in that it requires loss of Green Belt. 4. Point 7 just lists where development will go, it isn't a vision. 5. Point 7 should be expanded to refer to adjoining communities in neighbouring districts. 6. The vision should refer to maximising the use of brownfield sites. 7. No public consultation has taken place on the proposed development to the east of Stevenage. 8. Reference to meeting the full OAN and associated jobs should be included. 9. Reference to the LSCC as a key economic driver should be included.

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
2.5 Strategic Objectives	
2.5	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for strategic objectives. <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. There is no reference to health in the strategic objectives. 3. Objective 1 should refer to adapting to climate change as well as mitigation. 4. Insert an objective to protect the Green Belt. 5. Insert an objective to meet full objectively assessed housing needs.
2.6 London Stansted Cambridge Corridor (LSCC) Vision	
2.6	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for vision. <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The vision is unsound as it does not refer to environmental and planning policy constraints. 2. The vision should not be limited to the Harlow/Bishop's Stortford area as investment in the A120 and A414 will bring adjacent locations such as Ware into play. 3. Money towards rail improvements should be re-directed to a Standon bypass, dualling the A602 and the A10 north of Puckeridge. 4. There should be a stronger reference to housing.